

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,851	10/25/2004	Gerhard Kressner	02894-669US1	6433
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 01/10/200 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			WILSON, LEE D	
MINNEAPOL	JIS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/501,851	KRESSNER ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
LEE D. WILSON	3723				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication.	
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ARADONED (30 U.S.C., § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patter torm adjustment. See 3 CFCR 1.7046.</li> </ul>	1.
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is	,
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 17-29</u> is/are rejected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 16</u> is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(o	i).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>	
_ , , , ,	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
<ul> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☑ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	

X	Notice
	X

of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/16/04.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: \_\_\_

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/501,851

Art Unit: 3723

### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

 Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the term "said" appears in the body of the text and there appears to be more than 150 words making it to long.
 Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- Claims 7, 8, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. The following claims are vague, indefinite, awkwardly and confusingly worded:
    - "it" and "its" in claims 7 and 8. The limitations must be positively recited with the proper antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number: 10/501,851 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

ii. Claim 21 is a dependent claim which is changing the preamble of the claim 1. The claim is awkardly written because the claim dependency should come be for limitation are recited so that it is clear that they require the limitations of claim 1

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-14 and 17-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boland et al (2003/0154568A1).

Boland et al discloses the invention as claimed in claims 1-14 and 18-28. Boland et al disclose a brush head (figs.1-7) having bristle support (2), an array of bristles (12&20), motor (abstract), tooth brush (abstract and the handle which is mentioned in the Back ground info), bristle support segment (3&4) with cam surface underneath being the cam engagement; and cam surface (14), and in regard to claim 29 see par.57.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Application/Control Number: 10/501,851 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

#### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The 892 form discloses prior art being made of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LEE D. WILSON whose telephone number is 571-272-4499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH HAIL can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ldw

/LEE D WILSON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723 Application/Control Number: 10/501,851

Page 5

Art Unit: 3723

January 8, 2008